



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/698,721 | 10/31/2003 | Philip J. Pietraski | I-2-0433.1US | 1573 |
| 24374 | 7590 | 02/23/2007 | EXAMINER | |
| VOLPE AND KOENIG, P.C. DEPT. ICC UNITED PLAZA, SUITE 1600 30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103 | | | LAM, DUNG LE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2617 | |
| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| 3 MONTHS | | 02/23/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

| | | |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/698,721 | PIETRASKI, PHILIP J. |
| | Examiner Dung Lam | Art Unit 2617 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent-term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-5, 12-16 and 32-36 is/are pending in the application.
 - 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5, 12-16 and 32-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claim(s) 1, 12 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) being anticipated by

admitted prior art.

3. Referring to claim 1, admitted prior art of applicant teaches a method for providing feedback regarding the quality of a communication channel which is transmitted between a transmitter and a receiver ([0008 – 0010]); the method comprising: transmitting a control communication from said transmitter to said receiver ([0008], Step 102 Fig. 1), said control communication including information regarding the allocation of resources in a subsequent downlink data communication ([0008], Step 102, Fig. 1); receiving at said receiver said control communication and awaiting said downlink data communication ([0008], Step 104 Fig. 1); transmitting from said transmitter said downlink data communication over a downlink channel ([0009], Step 106 Fig. 1); receiving at said receiver said downlink data communication (Step 108 Fig. 1,[0009]); performing at said receiver at least one current quality measurement on said

downlink data communication to determine the current quality of said downlink data channel ([0009], Step 110 Fig. 1); deriving, based on said performing step, a predictive channel quality indication (CQI) estimating the future quality of said downlink data channel (Using the measurements from step 110, the UE derives a CQI (step 112) that it **estimates would provide the highest throughput** [0009]); and transmitting said predictive CQI from said receiver to said transmitter wherein said predictive CQI includes at least one of a recommended transport block size, modulation format, or number of codes ("the CQI could represent a recommended Transport Block Size, modulation format, number of codes", [0005 and 0010]).

4. Referring to claim 12, admitted prior art of applicant teaches a method for providing channel quality measurements on a downlink communication channel transmitted from a receiver to a transmitter (0008 - 0010); the method comprising: monitoring said downlink communication channel at said receiver (0009); performing at least one current measurement on said downlink communication channel to determine the current quality of said downlink data channel ([0009], Step 110 Fig. 1); deriving, based on said performing step, a predictive channel quality indication (CQI) estimating the future quality of said downlink data channel (Using the measurements from step 110, the UE derives a CQI (step 112) that it **estimates would provide the highest throughput** [0009]); and transmitting said predictive CQI from said receiver to said transmitter wherein said predictive CQI includes at least one of a recommended transport block size, modulation format, or number of codes ("the CQI could represent a

recommended Transport Block Size, modulation format, number of codes", [0005 and 0010]).

5. Referring to claim 32, admitted prior art of applicant teaches a method for providing feedback regarding the quality of a communication channel which is transmitted between a transmitter and a receiver (0008 - 0010); the method comprising: transmitting a control communication from said transmitter to said receiver (0008), said control communication including information regarding the allocation of resources in a subsequent downlink data communication (0008); receiving at said receiver said control communication and awaiting said downlink data communication ([0008], Step 104 Fig. 1); transmitting from said transmitter said downlink data communication over a downlink data channel (0009); receiving at said receiver said downlink data communication (0009); transmitting from said transmitter a pilot channel communication over a pilot channel (0012); receiving at said receiver said pilot channel communication (0012); performing at said receiver at least one current quality measurement on said downlink data communication and said pilot channel communication to determine the current quality of said downlink data channel (0012); deriving, based on said performing step, a predictive channel quality indication (CQI) estimating the future quality of said downlink data channel (Using the measurements from step 110, the UE derives a CQI (step 112) that it *estimates would provide the highest throughput* [0009]); and transmitting said predictive CQI from said receiver to said transmitter wherein said predictive CQI includes at least one of a recommended transport block size, modulation

format, or number of codes ("the CQI could represent a recommended Transport Block Size, modulation format, number of codes", [0005 and 0010]).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

6. Claims **2-3, 13-14 and 33-34** are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art of applicant and **Bergel** (U.S. Publication No. 2004/0142698).
7. Referring to claims 2, 13 and 33, admitted prior art does not explicitly teach including storing said at least one current quality measurement (0026 and Figure 4B). In an analogous art, **Bergel** teaches the step of storing at least one current quality measurement (S120, [0048, 0049] and Figure 4B). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the admitted prior art's teaching of deriving a predictive CQI with Bergel's teaching of storing at least one current quality measurement to compare the past and present values to provide a more accurate estimate value.
8. Referring to claims **3, 14 and 34**, admitted prior art of applicant further teaches the method of claims 1/12/32 respectively but does not explicitly teach the step of

Art Unit: 2617

retrieving a stored measurement in deriving the predictive CQI. In an analogous art, **Bergel** said deriving step further includes retrieving at least one stored quality measurement and utilizing said at least one stored quality measurement and said at least one current quality measurement to derive said predictive CQI (S120, [0048, 0049] and Figure 4B). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the admitted prior art's teaching of deriving a predictive CQI with Bergel's teaching of deriving step predicts the future quality of the downlink communication channel to provide an improved compensation technique for transmission over a channel (0010).

9. Claims 4, 15 and 35 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art of applicant and Bergel and further in view of **Koorapaty** et al. (U.S. Patent Publication No. 2003/0129992).

10. Referring to claims 4,15 and 35, admitted prior art of applicant and Bergel teach the limitations of claims 4,15 and 35, but do not teach storing predicted values. **Koorapaty** et al. teaches storing predicted values [0010]. Therefore at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teaching of Koorapaty of storing predicted values to compare the predicted values with the measured values ([0012]).

11. Claims 5,16 and 36 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art of applicant in view of Bruckert et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,305,468)

12. Referring to claims 5, 16 and 36, admitted prior art of applicant teaches the limitations of claims 5, 16 and 36, but do not teach wherein said deriving step utilizes a

Art Unit: 2617

linear predictive algorithm to derive the predicted value. In an analogous art, Bruckert et al. teaches wherein said deriving step utilizes a linear predictive algorithm to derive the predicted value (Column 4, Lines 42-45). Therefore, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the to combine the teaching of admitted prior art of applicant with the teaching of Bruckert et al. wherein said deriving step utilizes a linear predictive algorithm to derive the predicted value to provide a more accurate power control command (Column 1, Lines 47-49).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dung Lam whose telephone number is (571) 272-6497. The examiner can normally be reached on M - F 9 - 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571) 272-7922. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DL



LESTER G. KINCAID
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER